

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexasofan, Virginia 22313-1450 www.repto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/541,394	03/29/2006	Matti Kivikko	06267.0128	6385
22852 7590 094852010 FINNEGAN, HENDERSON, FARABOW, GARRETT & DUNNER LLP 901 NEW YORK AVENUE, WASHINGTON, DC 20001-4413			EXAMINER	
			STONE, CHRISTOPHER R	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,		1628	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/18/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

# Office Action Summary

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/541,394	KIVIKKO ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
CHRISTOPHER R. STONE	1628	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS,

WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed  SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication of the provision of the communication of the provision of the pr
Status	
1)🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18 November 2009.
2a)□	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.
Disposit	ion of Claims
4)🖂	Claim(s) 3-8 is/are pending in the application.
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.
6)🛛	Claim(s) <u>3-8</u> is/are rejected.
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.
Applicat	ion Papers
9)	The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(c
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.
Priority (	under 35 U.S.C. § 119
12)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a)	☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
	1.☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.

- Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
- Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.
- 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- \* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

## Attachment(s)

- Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
   Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- Notice of braitspason's Paternatics (FTO/SB/08)
   Paper No/s/Mail Date 11/18/2009.
- Interview Summary (PTO-413)
   Paper No(s)/Mail Date. \_\_\_\_\_.
- 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application
- 6) Other: \_\_

Application/Control Number: 10/541,394 Page 2

Art Unit: 1628

## DETAILED ACTION

Applicants' arguments, filed November 18, 2009 and November 24, 2009, have been fully considered but are moot in view of new grounds of rejection. Rejections and/or objections not reiterated from previous office actions are hereby withdrawn. The following rejections and/or objections are either reiterated or newly applied. They constitute the complete set presently being applied to the instant application.

## Status of Claims

Claims 3-8 are currently pending and under examination.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Application/Control Number: 10/541,394

Art Unit: 1628

Claims 3-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Follath et al (The Lancet, Vol. 360, p. 196-202, 2002) in view of Perrone et al (Clinical Chemistry, 38(10), p. 1933-1953, 1992) and Pagel et al (Cardiovascular Drug Reviews, 14(5), p. 286-316, provided by Applicant).

Claims 3-8 are drawn to a method of treating renal failure and reducing the mortality in a mammal suffering from renal failure comprising administering levosimendan or its metabolite (R)-N-[4-(1,4,5,6-tetrahydro-4-methyl-6-oxo-3-pyridazinyl)phenyllacetamide, or any of their pharmaceutically acceptable salts thereof.

Follath et al teaches a method of treating heart failure in a human comprising administering levosimendan (abstract). Follath et al further teaches that the administration of levosimendan decreases serum creatinine levels, possibly due to increased organ (e.g. kidney) perfusion (p. 200, left column, 3<sup>rd</sup> full paragraph). Follath et al does not expressly teach that the method treats severe renal failure or reduces mortality in a mammal suffering from severe renal failure or the periodic or daily administration of levosimendan orally.

Perrone et al teaches that serum creatinine is the most widely used and commonly accepted measurement of renal function and that serum creatinine concentration is inversely proportional to the glomerular filtration rate and renal function (abstract, p. 1934, right column first paragraph and Fig. 1B). That is, a decrease in serum creatinine indicates increased renal function.

Pagel et al et al teaches the daily administration of levosimendan, orally, for the treatment of heart failure (p. 311, first full paragraph, p. 313, 2<sup>nd</sup> full paragraph). Pagel et

Application/Control Number: 10/541,394

Art Unit: 1628

al further teaches that levosimendan has similar pharmacokinetics in patients without renal failure and in patients with severe renal failure (creatinine clearance as low as 8ml/min, p. 304, first paragraph, p. 313, last paragraph).

Therefore it would have been prima facie obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the instantly claimed invention to orally administer levosimendan to a mammal with severe renal failure in order to treat said renal failure and to reduce the mortality in a mammal suffering from severe renal failure, since administration of the drug was known to result in increased renal function (i.e. to treat renal failure or dysfunction), daily oral administration was taught to be an appropriate schedule/route of administration for the drug and severe renal failure would not have been expected to negate the efficacy of the drug since the pharmacokinetics (e.g. bioavailability) of the drug were not compromised by severely impaired kidney function, thus resulting in the practice of the instantly claimed invention with a reasonable expectation of success.

## Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to CHRISTOPHER R. STONE whose telephone number is (571)270-3494. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday, 7:30am-4:00pm EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Sreenivasan Padmanabhan can be reached on 571-272-0629. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/541,394 Page 5

Art Unit: 1628

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

CRS

/Brandon J Fetterolf/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1642